

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,213	10/30/2003	Eduardo Jimenez	7433-00	2198
23909 COLGATE-PA	7590 02/04/2009 ALMOLIVE COMPAN	EXAMINER		
909 RIVER RO	OAD	1	GUIDOTTI, LAURA COLE	
PISCATAWA	Y, NJ 08855		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,213	JIMENEZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Laura C. Guidotti	3727		

	Laura C. Guidotti	3727						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) ☐ The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 45,48,49,77,79-81,88 and 89. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
	/Laura C Guidotti/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3727						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner finds the Applicant's remarks unpersuasive at this time. The Examiner maintains that Hallm et al. does in fact have third cleaning elements are each mounted on the resilient membrane and connected to both the rigid portion and the movable portion via or by means of the resilient membrane that acts almost as a "bridge" connecting the cleaning elements to both the movable portion and the rigid portion. The tribing cleaning elements are tuffs or bristles 4 that are mounted upon a resilient membrane/elastomeric material in the region near reference numeral 41 in Figures 18-21. According to Column 4 Lines 42-60 of Halm et al., the elastomeric material fills an aperture between regions 13 and 67. It is those bristles 4 mounted in that elastomeric material that are considered to be the third cleaning elements. It is noted that the third cleaning elements of the Applicant's invention are also mounted in the same way as Halm et al., on a resilient membrane and are connected to both the rigid and movable portion by means of the resilient membrane, this can be seen in Applicant's Figures 14-15 and the diagram submitted in the remarks.